Mathematic Congress of Medical Physics 4-6 OCTOBER 2024 | EUGENIDES FOUNDATION

# **Comparative Evaluation of IVIM-MRI Parameter Extraction** Methods in Placental Imaging

## I. Mitrakos<sup>1,2</sup> G. Lymperopoulou<sup>1</sup>, I. Seimenis<sup>3</sup>, C. Bourgioti<sup>1</sup>, L. E. Moulopoulou<sup>1</sup>, L. Astrakas<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>1st Department of Radiology, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Aretaieion Hospital, Athens <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Physics, University of Ioannina, Ioannina <sup>3</sup>Medical Physics Laboratory, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece Background

Intravoxel Incoherent Motion (IVIM) is an MRI protocol that quantifies tissue microperfusion via capillary molecular diffusion.

Study's aim: Comparison of different calculation methods for the IVIM coefficients D\*, D, and f in cases of Intrauterine Growth Restriction (IUGR), a condition of pathological fetal growth resulting from inadequate blood flow or nutrient supply.

### **Materials and Methods**

IVIM MRI of 9 cases with sonographically confirmed IUGR (GA: 26-36 weeks), using 13 b-values from 0-1000 s/mm<sup>2</sup> (Philips 3.0T, MULTI Coil, SE sequence with SK-space).

**D**\*, **D**, and **f** were calculated using three methods:

I. MR Signal Curve fitting on mean ROI values

Acquired Images

II. Mean ROI values from voxelwise constructed maps

Nordiclce

III. Segmented fitting for new maps and voxelwise extraction MATLAB

- $\circ$  Comparison of mean D\*, D, and f between Nordiclce-extracted values.
- Second independent sampling of parametric maps, using Fiji ImageJ.
- Statistical analysis using Paired-samples T-test and Wilcoxon Signed Ranks-test.





#### Results

The paired mean values for D\*, D, and f from each dataset were compared for each patient <u>separately</u>, revealing significant discrepancies across all methods and a lack of correlation patterns.

This result indicates the significance of the analysis approach within a software as well as the choice of the software itself.



Fig. 2: Parametric map of D\*, Nordiclce data (left) and MATLAB data (right) followed by second sampling.

Conclusion ✓ The results highlight the importance of model element selection in the application of IVIM. ✓ The current lack of standardization analysis including **model parameter** selection, fitting algorithms and data pre-processing leads to determination inconsistencies for the IVIM parameters. ✓ The findings call for further research on a standardized IVIM protocol that will result in more consistent and reliable significantly aiding outcomes, by diagnosis and disease monitoring.